Coronary heart disease: Functional imaging helps avoid unnecessary angiography and save costs

(Vienna, March 21, 2017) Heart catheterizations for assessing coronary heart disease are often unnecessary and can be replaced with functional cardiac imaging techniques. This is the result of a large-scale study recently conducted in the UK. “Functional cardiac imaging is less risky and less costly while providing accurate and reliable results. It is a good diagnostic starting point that should serve as gatekeeper for angiography,” says Prof. Riemer H.J.A. Slart, cardiovascular expert of the European Association of Nuclear Medicine (EANM).

Chest pain might be a sign of coronary heart disease (CHD). If patients present with these symptoms it is essential to clarify whether this suspicion can be confirmed or not. Several diagnostic ways are available to detect CHD and determine whether revascularization – the restoration or improvement of the blood flow to the heart – is needed. A classical method is coronary angiography (CAG), an invasive technique that requires the catheterization of the heart. However, over the past decade two functional cardiac imaging techniques that create images of the heart and the coronary vessels have become important alternatives: Myocardial perfusion scintigraphy (MPS) and cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR). MPS is a nuclear imaging technique based on gamma-rays emitted by injected radiopharmaceuticals that accumulate in organs while CMR as a subfield of magnetic resonance imaging uses strong magnetic fields that interact with hydrogen atomic nuclei of the body.

MPS as well as CMR enable precise characterizations of the coronary system and allow for the localization of areas in the heart with an insufficient blood supply. They play a key role in diagnosing cardiovascular diseases, establishing prognoses and assessing the efficiency of possible therapies. The success of these imaging methods is even still growing as the underlying technological processes are continuously being improved. Compared to CAG these imaging techniques offer several benefits: They are non-invasive and less risky, they reduce the patients’ strain and help save costs. Despite these advantages, though, CAG is still much too frequently used at an early diagnostic stage in patients with suspected CHD. Too many of these patients undergo cardiac catheterization without obstructive coronary
disease being found, a practice that exposes patients to unnecessary risks and creates avoidable costs. This has been shown by the CE-MARC 2 trial, a randomized study recently performed in six hospitals in the UK including 1202 patients with suspected coronary heart disease. Prof. Riemer H.J.A. Slart, cardiovascular expert of the EANM, points to the crucial result of the study: “Employing non-invasive functional cardiac imaging – MPS as well as CMR – results in a significant reduction of unnecessary angiography.”

**Guidelines induce too many angiograms**
The study divided the 1202 patients into three groups in order to compare three diagnostic strategies for clarification of suspected CHD: The first one used CMR and the second one MPS as a diagnostic starting point. The third strategy followed the guidelines of the British National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). In this latter group, those patients with a CHD pre-test likelihood of 10%-29% (defined as ‘low risk’ for CHD based on age, sex, symptoms, and medical history) were referred to cardiac computed tomography (CCT), while patients with a CHD pre-test likelihood of 30% to 60% (intermediate risk) were scheduled for MPS, and those with a high pre-test likelihood of over 60% were sent directly to coronary angiography. In the other two groups angiography was only provided as a possible follow-up examination. The researchers found that within 12 months 42,5 % of the patients belonging to the NICE guidelines group underwent coronary angiography while only 17,7 % of the CMR group and 16,2% of the MPS group did so. But the most important result is that 29% of the heart catheterizations carried out in patients of the NICE guidelines group turned out to be unnecessary but only 7,5% in the CMR group and 7,1% in the MPS group. The rate of clinical complications occurring after the 12 months test period did not differ between the three groups. One additional finding concerns CCT, which the NICE guidelines require for patients with low CHD risk. It turned out that the number of unnecessary angiograms that were performed on the basis of foregoing CCT examinations was significantly higher than that which were based on functional imaging results.

“It has become quite clear that coronary angiography needs to be restricted to cases that really require invasive examination. Functional imaging should be adopted easier and more frequently in the assessment of CHD, even in high risk groups. This is in the patients’ interest as well as for the benefit of the health care systems,” says Prof. Slart. However, as the EANM expert points out, the new guidelines of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) – which apply in several European countries – already fulfill these requests in part. While the British NICE guidelines define a CHD pre-test likelihood of 60% as threshold for immediate angiography the ESC guidelines require a pre-test likelihood of 85%. “The ESC guidelines certainly are a step in the right direction,” says Prof. Slart.
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What is nuclear medicine?
Nuclear medicine is a medical specialty using radioactive substances (radiopharmaceuticals)
for diagnosis and treatment of specific diseases. Many people associate the term, nuclear
medicine, with dangerous radiation. These concerns are unfounded. The exposure doses to
the patient are so low according to the European Association of Nuclear Medicine (EANM)
that they do not call into question the benefits of the diagnostic and therapeutic possibilities
of nuclear medicine.

www.whatisnuclearmedicine.com

https://www.facebook.com/officialEANM
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